

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,617	08/15/2005	Juergen Schultz	11150/87	4036
26646 7590 02/08/2007 KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY			EXAMINER	
			FAULK, DEVONA E	
NEW YORK, I	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/511,617	SCHULTZ, JUERGEN			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Devona E. Faulk	2615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is used to time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 October 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10) 🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 2005</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🛛 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/31/2005</u>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The applicant's preliminary amendment filed on 10/15/2004 has been entered. The amendment cancelled claims 1-11 and added claims 12-22.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 21 recites ""... a multifunction operation unit configured to display a position of the transmitter devices and the receiver devices...". The specification discloses that " to dispense with separate control elements for the different seating positions, a rotary/pressure transducer, may be used so that the seating positions may be selected by way of rotation and the transmitting and/or receiving devices of the seating positions may then be deactivated or reconnected by pressing..". The rotary/pressure transducer displays different seating positions and not the position of the transmitter and receiver devices as claimed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The applicant's preliminary amendment filed on 10/15/2004 has been entered. The amendment cancelled claims 1-11 and added claims 12-22.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinct.
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 21 recites "… a multifunction operation unit configured to display a position of the transmitter devices and the receiver devices…". The specification discloses that " to dispense with separate control elements for the different seating positions, a rotary/pressure transducer, may be used so that the seating positions may be selected by way of rotation and the transmitting and/or receiving devices of the seating positions may then be deactivated or reconnected by pressing.". The rotary/pressure transducer displays different seating positions and not the position of the transmitter and receiver devices as claimed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,617 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

4. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGregor et al. (US 4,965,833) in view of Lee et al. (US 4,449,238).

Claims 12 and 22 share common features.

Regarding **claims 12 and 22**, McGregor discloses a communications device for transmitting acoustic signals in a motor vehicle (column 1, lines 32-38; column 3, lines 41-54 abstract, Figure 1), comprising:

at least two transmitter devices configured to transmit acoustic signals (Figure 2, front/rear microphones 6 and 9, amplifier/electrical conditioning units 8,11);

at least two receiver devices configured to emit acoustic signals (Figure 2, front/rear loudspeakers 7 and 10, Figures 2,5 and 6; column 3, lines 41-54);

a control unit configured to activate and deactivate at least the transmitter devices (switching unit 12, Figure 2; column 3, lines 27-33; column 1, lines 32-47);

wherein at least one transmitter device and at least one receiver device are assigned to a spatial position (column 2, lines 1-15, "favorable acoustic position"), the transmitter devices configured to detect signal levels in accordance with the control unit switching unit 12, Figure 2; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40; column 1, lines 32-47), the control unit configured to activate a transmitter device (column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40), the control unit assigned at least one control element configured to at least one of (a) selectively deactivate at least one transmitter device independently of an applied signal level (on/off switch, latch switch 24, push-button 25, by which the amplifier/electrical conditioning unit can be selectively deactivated; column 5, line 45-column 6, line 36).

Art Unit: 2615

McGregor fails to disclose that the signal level of at least one transmitter is weighted by means of the control element and that the signal level at the transmitters can be measured by means of the control element and only the transmitter with the highest signal level is activated.

Lee discloses a communications devices (voice actuated switching system) comprising a control unit (Figures 1 and 2, CPU), transmitters (microphones) and a receiver (loudspeaker). Lee discloses that the control element (microphone control unit 20, Figure 1) controls the selected, mixed and off states of the microphone channels, that the signal levels (output signal levels from each of the microphones are weighted by the microphone control unit and that the signal levels at the transmitters can be measured by the control unit (CPU) and only the transmitter with the highest signal level is activated (the microphone with the greatest output at any given time is considered in the selected state; column 2, lines 30-55). It would have been obvious to modify McGregor so that the signal levels from each of the microphones are weighted and so that only the transmitter with the highest signal level is activated in order to minimize noise and reverberation.

Regarding **claim 13**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the control element is configured to deactivate at least one receiver element independently of the signal levels (column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40; column 6, line 10-column 7, line 6).

Regarding **claim 14**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the transmitter devices include at least one of (a) a microphone and (b) a microphone array (McGregor; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40).

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding **claim 15**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the receiver devices include a loudspeaker (McGregor; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40).

Regarding **claim 16**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the control unit is configured to one of (a) deactivate an assigned receiver device of an active transmitter device and (b) reduce a level of the assigned receiver device of the active transmitter device (Lee, column 2, lines 32-66).

Regarding **claim 18**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses further comprising echo compensators arranged between the transmitter devices and the receiver devices (McGregor; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40; column 6, line 10-column 7, line 6).

Regarding **claim 19**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses further comprising attenuation devices arranged between the transmitter devices and the receiver devices (Lee, column 5, line 24-column 6, line 44).

Regarding **claim 20**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the control element includes at least one of (a) a non-locking key, (b) a switch, (c) a rotary transducer and (d) a pressure transducer (McGregor; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40; column 6, line 10-column 7, line 6; switching unit).

Regarding claim 21, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses. The communications device according to claim 12, further comprising a multifunction operation unit configured to display a position of the transmitter devices and the receiver

Art Unit: 2615

devices, the control element assigned to the multifunction operation unit (McGregor; column 6, line 20-line 36).

5. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGregor et al. (US 4,965,833) in view of Lee et al. (US 4,449,238) as modified by Schaaf (WO 99/49698).

Regarding clam 17, McGregor as modified by Lee fials to disclose of time-delay elements configured to compensate for differences in propagation time arranged between the transmitter devices and the receiver devices. Schaaf discloses time-delay elements configured as claimed. It would have been obvious to modify McGregor as modified to include time-delay elements to compensate for differences in propagation in order to determined the location of a person speaking.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 571-272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848.

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this

Art Unit: 2615

application should be directed to Art Unit 2615. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DEF

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The applicant's preliminary amendment filed on 10/15/2004 has been entered. The amendment cancelled claims 1-11 and added claims 12-22.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 21 recites "… a multifunction operation unit configured to display a position of the transmitter devices and the receiver devices…". The specification discloses that " to dispense with separate control elements for the different seating positions, a rotary/pressure transducer, may be used so that the seating positions may be selected by way of rotation and the transmitting and/or receiving devices of the seating positions may then be deactivated or reconnected by pressing..". The rotary/pressure transducer displays different seating positions and not the position of the transmitter and receiver devices as claimed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2615

4. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGregor et al. (US 4,965,833) in view of Lee et al. (US 4,449,238).

Claims 12 and 22 share common features.

Regarding **claims 12 and 22**, McGregor discloses a communications device for transmitting acoustic signals in a motor vehicle (column 1, lines 32-38; column 3, lines 41-54 abstract, Figure 1), comprising:

at least two transmitter devices configured to transmit acoustic signals (Figure 2, front/rear microphones 6 and 9, amplifier/electrical conditioning units 8,11);

at least two receiver devices configured to emit acoustic signals (Figure 2, front/rear loudspeakers 7 and 10, Figures 2,5 and 6; column 3, lines 41-54);

a control unit configured to activate and deactivate at least the transmitter devices (switching unit 12, Figure 2; column 3, lines 27-33; column 1, lines 32-47);

wherein at least one transmitter device and at least one receiver device are assigned to a spatial position (column 2, lines 1-15, "favorable acoustic position"), the transmitter devices configured to detect signal levels in accordance with the control unit switching unit 12, Figure 2; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40; column 1, lines 32-47), the control unit configured to activate a transmitter device (column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40), the control unit assigned at least one control element configured to at least one of (a) selectively deactivate at least one transmitter device independently of an applied signal level (on/off switch, latch switch 24, push-button 25, by which the amplifier/electrical conditioning unit can be selectively deactivated; column 5, line 45-column 6, line 36).

Art Unit: 2615

McGregor fails to disclose that the signal level of at least one transmitter is weighted by means of the control element and that the signal level at the transmitters can be measured by means of the control element and only the transmitter with the highest signal level is activated.

Lee discloses a communications devices (voice actuated switching system) comprising a control unit (Figures 1 and 2, CPU), transmitters (microphones) and a receiver (loudspeaker). Lee discloses that the control element (microphone control unit 20, Figure 1) controls the selected, mixed and off states of the microphone channels, that the signal levels (output signal levels from each of the microphones are weighted by the microphone control unit and that the signal levels at the transmitters can be measured by the control unit (CPU) and only the transmitter with the highest signal level is activated (the microphone with the greatest output at any given time is considered in the selected state; column 2, lines 30-55). It would have been obvious to modify McGregor so that the signal levels from each of the microphones are weighted and so that only the transmitter with the highest signal level is activated in order to minimize noise and reverberation.

Regarding **claim 13**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the control element is configured to deactivate at least one receiver element independently of the signal levels (column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40; column 6, line 10-column 7, line 6).

Regarding **claim 14**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the transmitter devices include at least one of (a) a microphone and (b) a microphone array (McGregor; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40).

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding **claim 15**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the receiver devices include a loudspeaker (McGregor; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40).

Regarding **claim 16**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the control unit is configured to one of (a) deactivate an assigned receiver device of an active transmitter device and (b) reduce a level of the assigned receiver device of the active transmitter device (Lee, column 2, lines 32-66).

Regarding **claim 18**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses further comprising echo compensators arranged between the transmitter devices and the receiver devices (McGregor; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40; column 6, line 10-column 7, line 6).

Regarding **claim 19**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses further comprising attenuation devices arranged between the transmitter devices and the receiver devices (Lee, column 5, line 24-column 6, line 44).

Regarding **claim 20**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses wherein the control element includes at least one of (a) a non-locking key, (b) a switch, (c) a rotary transducer and (d) a pressure transducer (McGregor; column 2, line 56- column 3, line 40; column 6, line 10-column 7, line 6; switching unit).

Regarding **claim 21**, McGregor as modified by Lee discloses The communications device according to claim 12, further comprising a multifunction operation unit configured to display a position of the transmitter devices and the receiver

Art Unit: 2615

devices, the control element assigned to the multifunction operation unit (McGregor; column 6, line 20-line 36).

5. Claims 12-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGregor et al. (US 4,965,833) in view of Lee et al. (US 4,449,238) as modified by Schaaf (WO 99/49698).

Regarding **clam 17**, McGregor as modified by Lee fails to disclose of time-delay elements configured to compensate for differences in propagation time. Schaaf discloses time-delay elements configured as claimed (page 2-3 of translation). It would have been obvious to modify McGregor as modified to include time-delay elements to compensate for differences in propagation in order to determine the location of a source.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 571-272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848.

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this

Art Unit: 2615

application should be directed to Art Unit 2615. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DEF

VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVIET AY PATERT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 7